



EVALUATION RESEARCH PROJECT

PROGRAM/AREA:

COURSE:

STUDENT:

ITEMS	PERCENTAGE	SCORE	COMMENTS
1. RESEARCH OBJECT	20		
2. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	20		
3. FORMAL ASPECTS OF THE REPORT	20		
4. EXPOSITION AND PUBLIC DEFENSE	20		
5. SCIENTIFIC IMPACT	20		
GLOBAL QUALIFICATION	100		

Date

Signatures

President

Secretary

Panel Member



CRITERIA FOR EVALUATING ITEMS

1. - RESEARCH OBJECT

Clarity

- What is the issue under investigation?
- Does it possess the requisite qualities to serve as the subject of scientific inquiry?
- Is the formulation clear and appropriate?
- Are the hypotheses and objectives discernible?
- Does it rest on a sound theoretical foundation?

Originality

- Is it original?
- Have suitable sources of information and documentation been utilized?
- Has a comprehensive literature search been conducted?
- Is it current?
- Connects to the existing knowledge base.
- Does it utilize sophisticated analysis methods?

Membership

- Is it relevant to conduct this type of research at this time?
- Does it address the needs of the current social context?
- Are you currently part of the doctoral program and/or the research group?

Relevance

- Relevance: Is the content pertinent to the subject matter?
- Information Utility: Will it offer practical knowledge?
- Alignment with Scientific Community Requirements: Does it fulfill the needs of the scientific community?

2. - RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Methodological Adequacy

- What research methodology is being utilized and has its suitability been thoroughly assessed for the study?
- Is there alignment between the theoretical framework and the chosen methodology?
- Is the justification for the selected methodology comprehensive?
- Does the methodology introduce any novel or innovative contributions?

Design Consistency

- Are the variables, samples, data collection procedures, and data analysis techniques meticulously detailed and deemed appropriate for the study?
- Are all procedural steps clearly specified and conscientiously adhered to?
- Have the instruments undergone comprehensive evaluation for reliability and validity?

3. - FORMAL ASPECTS OF THE REPORT

Clarity

- Does the document adhere to a proper structure?



- Are the contents presented clearly and coherently?
- Is it composed with appropriate style and language?
- Are all data, charts, tables, and graphs easily comprehensible?

Rigor

- Does the document exhibit internal consistency between the theoretical and practical segments?
- Does it employ logical and coherent language?
- Is it methodically written and rigorous in its approach?
- Is scientific terminology used accurately?

Quality of the documentation

- Is the documentation thorough and well-referenced?
- Are the sources appropriately cited and specified?
- Are the references current and relevant?
- Are the citations and references pertinent to the content?

Adherence to scientific standards

- Does the document feature an appropriate formal presentation?
- Does the thesis fulfill the essential requirements of a scientific work?
- Is it deemed publishable according to scientific standards?

4.- EXHIBITION AND PUBLIC DEFENSE

Clarity in the exhibition

- Have the contents of the work been presented to the public in a clear and comprehensible manner?
- Have emphasis been placed on the fundamental aspects of the work?
- Did the technical methods utilized contribute to the enhancement of the exhibition?

Adequacy of the defense

- Has the doctoral student demonstrated receptiveness to the relevant criticisms and observations presented by the examination panel?
- Have all theoretical and methodological inquiries been addressed?
- Were the responses deemed adequate and accurate?

5. - SCIENTIFIC IMPACT

Research Projections:

- Have new lines of research been proposed for the studied problem?
- Does it offer innovative methodologies for researchers?
- Has the thesis influenced other projects or research?
- Has it yielded, or does it hold the potential to yield, valuable models or tools for subsequent projects?

Contributions to Scientific Knowledge:

- Has the work been disseminated at a scientific level through publications, seminars, communications, or posters?
- Is there an intention to publish the work?
- Have any models or patents been generated as a result of the work?
- What impact can be expected from the thesis contributions?